KEMPTON PROJECT MEETING 10/29/18

All present

Isaiah 9:6 discussion--Father of Eternity vs. Everlasting Father/Father Everlasting

AJH: priority should be given to vocab over syntax. “Everlasting” keeps the “ever”

SDC: The first is much more powerful than the second

Writings have *aeternitatis*

What does this mean? That the Lord generated eternity? SDC & others take it that way; AJH argues no.

SDC Clincher ;) --HD translations: Everlasting Father: Rogers, Elliott, & Chadwick; Father of Eternity: Potts, Ager, Whitehead

AJH says it’s ok to use Father of Eternity if we put a footnote in

Isaiah 9:6 & 9:7--SDC

I think this is what he said.

AE 255 in aeternum & aeternitas

Lord 38 does the same

suffire vs. odorari: odorari departs from Schmidius; SDC has a bias toward places where HD departs from Schmidius.

AC Odor for both these words is something that is pleasant